SIXTY-DAY NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE FOR VIOLATION OF THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986

(Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.) ("Proposition 65")

March 27, 2015

Current President/ CEO	Ray Joffrion, President or	Michael Balmuth, CEO, or
Gourmet Nut	Current-President/CEO	Current President/CEO
3611 14th Ave.	Arco Distributors, Inc.	Ross Dress For Less, Inc.
Brooklyn, NY 11218	22815 Highway 1	4440 Rosewood Drive
	Plaquemine, LA 70764	Pleasanton, CA 94588-3051
Michael Balmuth, CEO, or	Michael Balmuth, CEO, or	Michael Balmuth, CEO, or
Current President/CEO	Current President/CEO	Current President/CEO
Ross Stores, Inc.	Ross Dress For Less	Ross Dress For Less
4440 Rosewood Drive	5530 Cherry Avenue	5530 Cherry Avenue
Pleasanton, CA 94588-3051	Long Beach, CA 90805	Long Beach, CA 90805

AND THE PUBLIC PROSECUTORS LISTED ON THE DISTRIBUTION LIST ACCOMPANYING THE ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Re: Violations of Proposition 65 concerning Candy containing Lead

To whom else this may concern:

Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. ("CAG"), the noticing entity, located at 9903 Santa Monica Boulevard #225, Beverly Hills, California 90212, serves this Notice of Violation ("Notice") Gourmet Nut, Arco Distributors, Inc., Ross Dress For Less, Ross Dress For Less, Inc. and Ross Stores, Inc. (collectively "Violators") pursuant to and in compliance with Proposition 65. Violators may contact CAG concerning this Notice through its designated person within the entity, its attorney, Reuben Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212, telephone no. (310) 623-1926, facsimile no. (310) 623-1930. This Notice satisfies a prerequisite for CAG to commence an action against Violators in any Superior Court of California to enforce Proposition 65. The violations addressed by this Notice occurred at numerous locations in each county in California as reflected in the district attorney addresses listed in the attached distribution list. CAG is serving this Notice upon each person or entity responsible for the alleged violations, the California Attorney General, the district attorney for each county where alleged violations occurred, and the City Attorney for each city with a population (according to the most recent decennial census) of over 750,000 located within counties where the alleged violations occurred.

- CAG is an organization based in California. CAG is an entity dedicated to protecting the environment, improving human health, and supporting environmentally sound practices. By sending this Notice, CAG is acting "in the public interest" pursuant to Proposition 65.
- This Notice concerns violations of the warning prong of Proposition 65, which states that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual . . ." Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

- CAG has discovered Candy specifically Ginger Slices ("Slices") containing Lead, which is known to the State of California to cause both cancer and reproductive toxicity, developmental, female, male. On February 27, 1987, the Governor of California added lead to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, developmental, female, male, and on October 1, 1992, the Governor added lead and lead compounds to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause cancer. Both additions took place more than twenty (20) months before CAG served this Notice.
 - O An exemplar of the violations caused by Slices includes but is not limited to:
 - "Gourmet Nut™ 'gourmet on the go' CRYSTALLIZED GINGER SLICES, "A FAT FREE SNACK!" NET WT 80Z (227g), Packaged in the USA, Product of Thailand 'Gourmet Nut 3611 14th Ave. Brooklyn, NY 11218 www.gourmetnut.com' N440 3088 D1045 C7024 Compare at "\$6.00, \$3.99, 03 FOOD/CA, SKU # 400117271759 UPC: 6 09722 84404 8"
- This Notice addresses consumer products exposures. A "[c]onsumer products exposure' is an exposure which results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service."

 Cal. Code Regs. 27 tit. § 25602(b).

Violators caused consumer product exposures in violation of Proposition 65 by producing or making available Slices for distribution or sale in California to consumers. The packaging for Slices (meaning any label or other written, printed or graphic matter affixed to or accompanying the product or its container or wrapper) contains no Proposition 65-compliant warning. Nor did Violator, with regard to Slices, provide a system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free information services, or any other system, which provided clear and reasonable warnings. Nor did Violator, with regard to Slices, provide identification of the product at retail outlets in a manner that provided a warning through shelf labeling, signs, menus, or a combination thereof.

These violations occurred each day between March 27, 2012 and March 27, 2015, and are ever continuing thereafter.

The principal routes of exposure were through dermal contact, ingestion, and inhalation. Persons sustain exposures by handling the Slices without wearing gloves or by touching bare skin or mucous membranes with gloves after handling Slices, as well as direct and indirect hand to mouth contact, hand to food to mouth, direct contact to food then to mouth, hand to mucous membrane, or breathing in particulate matter emanating from the Slices during installation and use, as well as through environmental mediums that carry the Lead once contained within the Slices.

Proposition 65 requires that notice of intent to sue be given to the violator(s) sixty (60) days before the suit is filed. Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)(1). With this letter, CAG gives notice of the alleged violations to Violator and the appropriate governmental authorities. In absence of any action by the appropriate governmental authorities within sixty (60) calendar days of the sending of this notice (plus ten (10) calendar days because the place of address is beyond the State of California but within the United States), CAG may file suit. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 1013; Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)(1); and Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27 § 25903(d)(1). CAG remains open and willing to discussing the possibility of resolving its grievances short of formal litigation.

With the copy of this notice submitted to the Violators, a copy of the following is attached: The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary.

Dated: M. 0 2.7/15

Reuben Yeroushalmi
Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi
Attorneys for Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAPE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. Please refer to the statute and OEHHA's implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

Proposition 65 Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13 is available online at: http://oshha.ca.gov/prop65/line/P65law72003.html. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001. These implementing regulations are available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Proposition \$5 List." Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/Newlist.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly say that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop85/law/index.html) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html.

Grace Periods, Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employee a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all full and part-time employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that page no algorithment risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that pages "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop86/getNSRLs.html for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in a Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exampt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant² it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" rhears any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27 and sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within-sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

² See Section 25501(a)(4)

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 tisted chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged
 violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies
 if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of
 food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological
 contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A private party may not file an action against the alleged violator for these exposures, or recover in a settlement any payment in lieu of penalties or any reimbursement for costs and attorney's fees, if the notice of violation was served on or after October 5, 2013, and the alleged violator has done all of the following within 14 days of being served notice:

- · Corrected the alleged violation;
- Agreed to pay a civil penalty of \$500 (subject to change as noted below) to the private party within 30 days;
 and
- Notified the private party serving the notice in writing that the violation has been corrected.

The written notification to the private-party must include a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form completed by the alleged violator as directed in the notice. On April 1, 2019, and every five years thereafter, the dollar amount of the civil penalty will be adjusted by the Judicial Council based on the change in the annual California Consumer Price Index. The Judicial Council will publish the dollar amount of the adjusted civil penalty at each five-year interval, together with the date of the next scheduled adjustment.

An alleged violator may satisfy these conditions only one time for a violation arising from the same exposure in the same facility or on the same premises. The satisfaction of these conditions does not prevent the Attorney General, a district attorney, a city attorney of a city greater than 750,000 in population, or any full-time city prosecutor with the consent of the district attorney, from filing an enforcement action against an alleged violator. The amount of any civil penalty for a violation shall be reduced to reflect any payment made by the alleged violator for the same alleged violation to a private-party.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included with this notice and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65law/2003.html. The notice is reproduced here:

Page 1
Date: Name of Noticing Party or attorney for Noticing Party:
Address:
Phone number:
SPECIAL COMPLIANCE PROCEDURE PROOF OF COMPLIANCE You are receiving this form because the Noticing Party listed above has alleged that you are violating California Health and Safety Code §25249.6 (Prop. 65).
nealth and Salety Code 925248.0 (Prop. 05).
The Noticing Party may not bring any legal proceedings against you for the alleged violation checked below if:
 You have actually taken the corrective steps that you have certified in this form. The Neithing Party has received this form at the address shown above, accurately completed by you postmarked within 14 days of your receiving this notice. The Noticing Party esselves the required \$500 sensity payment from you at the address shown above postmarked within 30 days of your receiving this notice. This is the first time you have submitted a Proof of Compliance for a violation arising from the same exposure in the same facility on the same premises.
PART 1: TO BE COMPLETED BY THE NOTICING PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR THE NOTICING PARTY
The alleged violation is for an exposure to: (check one)
Alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent on-site consumption is permitted by law.
A chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity in a food or beverage prepared and sold the alleged violator's premises for immediate consumption on or off premises to the extent: (1) the chemical was no intentionally added; and (2) the chemical was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination.
Environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises.
Chemicals known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity in engine exhaust, to the extent the

IMPORTANT NOTES:

noncommercial vehicles.

- 1. You have no potential liability under California Health and Safety Code \$25249.6 if your business has nine (9) or fewer employees.
- 2. Using this form will NOT prevent the Attorney General, a district attorney, a city attorney, or a prosecutor in whose jurisdiction the violation is alleged to have occurred from filing an action over the same alleged violations, and that in any such action, the amount of civil penalty shall be reduced to reflect any payment made at this time.

exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking

Page 2
Date:
Name of Noticing Party or attorney for Noticing Party:
Address:
Phone number:
PART 2: TO BE COMPLETED BY THE ALLEGED VIOLATOR OR AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE
Certification of Compliance
Accurate completion of this form will demonstrate that you are now in compliance with California Health and Safety
Code \$25249.6 for the alleged violation listed above. You must complete and submit the form below to the Noticing
Party at the address shown above, postmarked within 14 days of you receiving this notice.
I hereby agree to pay, within 30 days of completion of this notice, a civil penalty of \$500 to the Noticing Party only and certify that I have complied with Health and Safety Code \$25249.6 by (check only one of the following):
1) Posting a warning or warnings about the alleged exposure that complies with the law, and attaching a copy of that
warning and a photograph accurately showing its placement on my premises;
Posting the warning or warnings demanded in writing by the Noticing Party, and attaching a copy of that warning
and a photograph accurately showing its placement on my premises; OR
[] Eliminating the alleged exposure, and attaching a statement accurately describing how the alleged exposure has
been eliminated.
Certification
My statements on this form, and on any attachments to it, are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge
and belief and are made in good faith. I have carefully read the instructions to complete this form. I understand that if
make a false statement on this form, I may be subject to additional penalties under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic
Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65).
Signature of alleged violator or authorized representative Date
Name and title of signatory

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at P65Public.Commenta@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: May 2014

NOTE: Authority cited: Section:25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.

Candy containing Lead

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

I, Reuben Yeroushahni, hereby declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the party(s) identified in the notice(s) has violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: M. 177/15

By: Reaben Yeroushalmi

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. My business address is 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW, I SERVED THE FOLLOWING:

- 1) 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6
- 2) Certificate of Merit: Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)
- 3) Certificate of Merit (Attorney General Copy): Factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the certificate of merit (anly sent to Attorney General)
- 4) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary

by enclosing copies of the same in a sealed envelope, along with an unsigned copy of this declaration, addressed to each person shown below and depositing the envelope in the U.S. mail with the postage fully prepaid. Place of Mailing: Beverly Hills, CA

Name and address of each party to whom documents were mailed:

Current President/ CEO	Ray Joffrion, President or	Michael Balmuth, CEO, or
Gourmet Nut	Current President/ CEO	Current President/CEO
3611 14th Ave.	Arco Distributors, Inc.	Ross Dress For Less, Inc.
Brooklyn, NY 11218	22815 Highway 1	4440 Rosewood Drive
	Plaquemine, LA 70764	Pleasanton, CA 94588-3051
Michael Balmuth, CEO, or	Michael Balmuth, CEO, or	Michael Balmuth, CEO, or
Current President/CEO	Current President/CEO	Current President/CEO
Ross Stores, Inc.	Ross Dress For Less	Ross Dress For Less
4440 Rosewood Drive	\$530 Cherry Avenue	5530 Cherry Avenue
Pleasanton, CA 94588-3051	Long Beach, CA 90805	Long Beach, CA 90805

Name and address of each public prosecutor to whom documents were mailed:

See Distribution List

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Sta	ate of California that the foregoing is true and
correct.	α
Date of Mailing: 3/27/2015	

Hya Gingoyon

Distribution List

	1	T.V 0 B''- Am
Alameda County District Attorney	Los Angeles County District Attorney	Mono County District Attorney
1225 Fallon St, Room 900	210 W Temple St, 18th Floor	PO Box 617
Oakland, CA 94612	Los Angeles, CA 90012	Bridgeport, CA 93517
Alpine County District Attorney	Madera County District Attorney	San Joaquin County District Attorney
PO Box 248	209 W Yosemite Ave	PO Box 990
Marklerville, CA 96120	Madera, CA 93637	Stockton, CA 95201 -0990
Markiervine, CA 90120		San Francisco County District Attorney
Amador County District Attorney	Mariposa County District Attorney	
708 Court, Suite 202	P.O. Box 730	850 Bryant St, Rm 322
Jackson, CA 95642	Mariposa, CA 95338	San Francisco, CA 94103
Butte County District Attorney	Marin County District Attorney	San Diego County District Attorney
25 County Center Dr.	3501 Civic Center Drive; #130	330 W. Broadway, Ste 1300
Oroville, CA 95965-3385	San Rafact, CA 94903	San Diego, CA 92101-3803
Calaveras County District Attorney	Mendocine County District Afterney	San Bernardino County District Attorney
Caraverse County Desert America	P.O. Box 1000	316 N Mountain View Ave
891 Mountain Ranch Road	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004
San Andreas, CA 95249	Ukish, CA 95482	San Domardino, CA 92415-0004
Office of the Attorney General	Los Angeles City Attorney	San Francisco City Attorney
P.O. Box 70550	200 N Main St Ste 1800	# 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Suite 234
P.U. BOX 70330	1	San Francisco, CA 94162
Oakland, CA 94612-0550	Los Angeles CA 90012	
Colusa County District Attorney	Inyo County District Attorney	Placer County District Attorney
Courthouse, 547 Market St.	P.O. Drawer D	10810 Justice Center Drive
Colusa, CA 95932	Independence, CA 93526	Suite 240
		Roseville, CA 95678-6231
Contra Costa County District Attorney	Orange County District Attorney	Merced County District Attorney
725 Court St., Room 402	PO Box 808	650 W. 20th Street
	Santa Asia, CA 92702	Merced, CA 95340
Martinez, CA 94553	Nevada County District Attorney	Napa County District Attorney
Del Norte County District Attorney		PO Box 720
450 "H" St.	201 Church St, Suite 8	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Crescent City, CA 95531	Nevada City, CA 95959-2504	Napa, CA 94559-0720
El Dorado County District Attorney	Plumes County District Attorney	Riverside County District Attorney
515 Main St.	520 Main Street, Rm 404	3960 Orange St. Stc. 5
Placerville, CA 95667-5697	Quincy, CA 95971	Riverside, CA 92501
Fresno County District Attorney	Sacramento County District Attorney	San Benito County District Attorney
2000 Tulous Pa Ste 1000	901 G Street	419 4th St
2220 Tulare St, Ste. 1000		Hollister, CA 95023
Freeno, CA 93721	Sacremento, CA 95814	
Glenn County District Attorney	San Luis Obispo County District Attorney	Siskiyou County District Attorney
PO Box 430	County Government Center, Rm 450	PO Box 986
Willows, CA 99988	San Luis Obispo, CA 93408	Yraka, CA 96097
Humboldt County District Attorney	San Mateo County District Attorney	Solano County District Attorney
825 5th St., 4th Floor	400 County Center	600 Union Ave
Eureka, CA 95\$01	Redwood City, CA 94063	Fairfield, CA 94533
Party CA 70.00	Santa Barbara County District Attorney	Sonoma County District Attorney
Imperial County District Attorney		
939 W. Main St., 2nd Floor	1112 Santa Barbara St.	600 Administration Dr.,
El Centro, CA 92243-2860	Santa Barbara, CA 93101	Rm 212-J
		Santa Rosa, CA 95403
Korn County District Attorney	Santa Clara County District Attorney	Shasta County District Attorney
1215 Truxton Ave.	70 W Hedding St.	1525 Court St, 3rd Floor
Bakersfield, CA 93301	San Jose, CA 95110	Redding CA 96001-1632
Kings County District Attorney	Santa Cruz County District Attorney	Sierra County District Attorney
Gov't Cir, 1400 W Laney Blvd	PO Box 1159	PO Box 457
UDTICE, 1900 IT LENDY DITE	Sente Cruz, CA 95061	Downieville, CA 95936-0457
Hanford, CA 93230		
Lake County District Attorney	Stanishus County District Attorney	Trinity County District Attorney
255 N Forbes St	PO Box 442	PO Box 310
Lakeport, CA 95453-4790	Modesto, CA 95353	Weaverville, CA 96093
_	Contra County District Attanner	Yuba County District Attorney
Modoc County District Attorney	Sutter County District Attorney	
204 S. Court Street	446 Second Street	215 5th St
Alterns, CA 96101-4020	Yitha City, CA 95991	Marysville, CA 95901
San Diego City Attorney	Lassen County District Attorney	Montarey County District Attorney
City Center Plaza	200 S Lausen St, Suite 8	PO Box 1131
	Susanville, CA 96130	Salinas, CA 93902
		1
1200 3rd Ave # 1100	Juditirano, Ori 70150]
1200 3rd Ave # 1100 San Dicao, CA 92101		Valo County District Attorney
1209 3rd Ave # 1100 Sen Diago, CA 92101 Tuolumne County District Attorney	Tuliare County District Attorney	Yolo County District Attorney
1200 3rd Ave # 1100 Sen Dingo, CA 92101 Tuolumne County District Attorney 2 S Green St	Tulare County District Attorney County Civic Center, Rm 224	310 Second St
1200 3rd Ave # 1100 Sen Diogo, CA 92101 Tuolumne County District Attorney 2 S Green St Sonora, CA 95370	Tulare County District Attorney County Civic Center, Rm 224 Visalie, CA 93291	310 Second St Woodland, CA 95695
1200 3rd Ave # 1100 Sen Diago, CA 92101 Tuolumne County District Attorney 2 S Green St	Tulare County District Attorney County Civic Center, Rm 224 Visalie, CA 93291 Tehanna County District Attorney	310 Second St Woodland, CA 95695 San Jose City Attorney
1200 3rd Ave # 1100 San Diogo, CA 92101 Tuolumne County District Attorney 2 S Green St Sonora, CA 95370	Tulare County District Attorney County Civic Center, Rm 224 Visalia, CA 93291 Tehama County District Attorney P.O. Box 519	310 Second St Woodland, CA 95695 San Jose City Attorney 151 W. Mission St.
1200 3rd Ave # 1100 San Diago, CA 92101 Tuolumne County District Attorney 2 S Green St Sonora, CA 95370 Ventura County District Attorney	Tulare County District Attorney County Civic Center, Rm 224 Visalie, CA 93291 Tehanna County District Attorney	310 Second St Woodland, CA 95695 San Jose City Attorney